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1. As the European Union (Notification of Withdrawal) Bill goes through Committee Stage in the House of Lords, Liberty urges Parliamentarians to insist on baseline protections for human rights and basic fairness. Liberty has repeatedly stressed the need to safeguard EU-law rights protections in any forthcoming ‘Great Repeal Bill’, without which Brexit cannot be a success. A particularly pressing matter, however, is the status of EU nationals in the UK and UK nationals in the EU.

2. Liberty urges Peers to support Amendment 9B tabled in the names of Baroness Ludford, Lord Bowness, Baroness Hayter, and Lord Hannay. This would ensure that EU nationals in the UK are treated fairly, guaranteeing the rights on which they have built their lives here in this country. Moreover, such a commitment will set the tone for the negotiations, helping ensure the equal treatment of UK nationals in the EU and founding the UK’s future position on a respect for human rights and equality.

Not a matter of negotiation

3. EU nationals in the UK have built their lives on the basis of key legal protections provided by EU law. As a matter of basic fairness and natural justice, their legitimate and reasonable expectations should be respected.

4. Brexit was not about stripping individuals of their rights: 77 per cent of those who voted to leave want EU nationals to retain their rights after Brexit, and 84% of the British public as a whole agree that EU citizens should be able to remain in this country.¹ As the official Vote Leave campaign said, there should be “no change for EU citizens already lawfully resident in the UK. These EU citizens will automatically be granted indefinite leave to remain in the UK and will be treated no less favourably than they are at present.”²

5. Expressing the same view, the House of Commons overwhelming passed a motion in support of the right of EU nationals to remain in the UK.³


³ For the debate, see House of Commons Hansard, 06 July 2016, column 937. The motion was passed by 245: 2 (division at columns 979-980).
6. And three separate reports have strongly echoed these views. British Future recommended that EU nationals in the UK on withdrawal should be granted rights of permanent residence. The House of Lords EU Committee was unequivocal in its conclusions:

“We urge the Government to change its stance and to give a unilateral guarantee now that it will safeguard the EU citizenship rights of all EU nationals in the UK when the UK withdraws from the EU. The overwhelming weight of the evidence we received points to this as morally the right thing to do. It would also have the advantage of striking a positive note for the start of the negotiations, which will be much needed.”
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7. And the Joint Committee on Human Rights has urged the Government to fundamentally rethink its approach:

“…it is not appropriate to treat individuals’ fundamental rights as a bargaining chip. Notwithstanding the moral imperative to respect the rights of EU nationals, there is also a considerable practical impediment to treating such rights as negotiable. It is not realistic to imagine that the UK Government would be in a position to deport the large numbers of EU nationals currently in the United Kingdom. Under Article 8 of the ECHR, individuals are entitled for respect to their private and family life and home.”
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8. However, the new Prime Minister has made clear that she wants this issue to form part of the UK’s Brexit negotiating position, and her Government has suggested that EU nationals are merely ‘bargaining chips’ in future talks. In so doing, the Government seems to be contemplating mass deportations in the event that it suits their negotiation strategy. To suggest that the lives of over 3 million EU nationals in the UK can be bargained away is deeply wrong.

9. Indeed, the early signs of the Government’s approach are extremely worrying. The charity Bail for Immigration Detainees have identified a shocking fivefold increase in

---

the number of EU citizens being detained at Immigration Removal Centres.\footnote{The Independent, ‘Fivefold increase in number of EU citizens held in UK detention centres since Tories took power’, 18 January 2017, available here: \url{http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/eu-citizens-detention-centres-immigration-detained-five-times-theresa-may-brexit-hostile-environment-a7534231.html}.}

Individuals have reportedly been detained for reasons as spurious or trivial as losing their ID cards or having a birthday party in a park. Retributive and mercenary treatment of EU nationals was plainly not what the British public voted for, and the Government must act as not as if it was.

**Guarantee EU nationals’ rights**

10. Liberty believes that the British government should lead by example, setting the tone for the withdrawal process by making strong commitments to EU nationals living in the UK. Such commitments, in the interests of fairness and respect for human rights, should be made as a matter of principle. EU nationals should not be treated as bargaining chips, nor should their rights be subject to negotiation nor made contingent upon the actions of other governments. Such an approach risks precipitating a dangerous race to the bottom as we vie with other regimes to offer increasingly inadequate protections to each others’ citizens.

11. The EU Citizen’s Directive specifies that EU nationals and their family members can move to other member states for an initial 3 month period and thereafter further conditions are to be introduced, including the requirement that the individual be a worker, self-employed, or otherwise self-sufficient, or in the process of seeking employment.\footnote{Directive 2004/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 (‘the Citizens’ Directive’), Articles 6 and 7.} After five years’ legal stay, individuals can obtain permanent residence.\footnote{Citizens’ Directive, Article 16.} EU citizens have the right to be joined by a spouse, civil partner, children under 21, and some dependant relatives.\footnote{Citizens’ Directive, Articles 1 and 2.} Rights to admission are also extended to unmarried partners and other dependant relatives.\footnote{Citizens’ Directive, Articles 1 and 2.}

12. EU nationals and their families have built their lives on the basis of these rights and entitlements. To now be faced with the prospect of deportation, after years in the UK, has come as a severe shock to many – something to which many MPs attested from their constituency correspondence in the recent debate on the Withdrawal Bill.\footnote{See Hansard, 31 January 2017, Volume 620, Column 818 onwards, available here: \url{https://hansard.parliament.uk/commons/2017-01-31/debates/C2852E15-21D3-4F03-B8C3-F7E05F2276B0/EuropeanUnion(NotificationOfWithdrawal)Bill}.} As the House of Lords Committee found, the human costs of this uncertainty are
“severe”. Their report cites evidence of EU nationals’ worries over increasing hate crime and their future security – and says uncertainty around their status is potentially “fuelling xenophobic sentiment”. It demands the Government “urgently” fulfil its “moral obligation to provide certain and legal clarity”.

13. In the interests of fairness, legal certainty, and the right to respect for private and family life, Liberty believes that the existing EU law rights and entitlements for all EU nationals, and their families, must be guaranteed.

 Protect UK nationals and their families as well

14. Equally, UK nationals who live in the EU face the same uncertainty. As the Joint Committee on Human Rights found, many do not know whether they can continue to live and work in the EU, or whether their children can remain in school with their friends – and even whether they can still receive health care.

15. By continuing to treat individuals as ‘bargaining chips’, the Government intensifies the uncertainty of UK citizens in the EU. Liberty urges Parliamentarians to protect their rights by ensuring reciprocal fair treatment for EU nationals in the UK and to signal to EU member states that the Brexit negotiations must respect EU and UK citizens’ rights.

Conclusion

16. Liberty urges Peers to support Amendment 9B tabled in the names of Baroness Ludford, Lord Bowness, Baroness Hayter, and Lord Hannay. This would ensure that EU nationals in the UK are treated fairly, guaranteeing the rights on which they have built their lives here in this country. Moreover, such a commitment will set the tone for the negotiations, helping ensure the equal treatment of UK nationals in the EU and founding the UK’s future position on a respect for human rights and equality.
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